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Abstract
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1 Introduction

It is well known that in the Black–Scholes market described by the Black-Scholes model a European contingent claim \( H \) with maturity \( T \) is priced at time \( t \in [0, T] \) as
\[
c(X(t), \tau, K, r, \sigma) = c(s, \tau, K, r, \sigma) = sN(d_1) - Ke^{-r\tau}N(d_2),
\]
\[
p(s, \tau, K, r, \sigma) = Ke^{-r\tau}N(-d_2) - sN(-d_1),
\]
with
\[
d_{1,2} = d_{1,2}(s, \tau, K, r, \sigma) = \frac{\ln(s/K) + (r \pm \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)\tau}{\sigma \sqrt{\tau}}.
\]

Meanwhile, the self-financing trading strategy of replication, commonly referred to as hedge ratio or, briefly, the delta of the option, is \( \theta_t = c_s(X(t), \tau) = N(d_1(X(t), \tau)) \) (see, for example, Musiela and Rutkowski (1997, p.131)). Accordingly, every contingent claim theoretically can be replicated by a self–financing trading strategy based on existing assets in the Black–Scholes market such that on one hand the risk of selling or buying claims in a complete market has been totally eliminated and on the other the claim is redundant in the sense that it can be written as a sum of an initial cost and a stochastic integral of the price process. It should be pointed out that much effort has been devoted in the literature to other models, including more general semimartingale models (see, for example, Barndorff-Nielson (1998)) and models associated with stochastic processes that are not semimartingales, such as fractional Brownian motion (see, for example, Cutland et al. (1995), Lin (1995)).

In this paper, we shall deal with a replication problem in a more general complete market than the Black-Scholes one by allowing that the drift and the volatility functions are unknown forms of arbitrary adapted processes. We propose a general way to obtain an explicit trading strategy expression for each replication issue in a complete market. Observe that the advantage of using an orthogonal series (Fourier) expansion in a Hilbert space with existing complete orthonormal basis is that the determination of a function in the space depends entirely on finding the coefficients in its expansion. Our methodology is that, in order to replicate a claim, we expand a stochastic process related to the dynamic strategy into an orthogonal series in a Hilbert space by means of the basis in the space. Therefore, under the martingale measure of the price process, since every European style contingent claim can be replicated, we simply employ a complete orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space in which the contingent claims are defined. Hence, the replication strategy of a contingent claim is
expressed by means of the coefficients of a Fourier expansion. It is noted that our method is based on an expansion of stochastic processes developed in Dong and Gao (2011). Moreover, it is also noted that with our replication strategy we derive an alternative pricing formula for European options, which is quite different from the Black-Scholes option pricing formula.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 develops the replication strategy and pricing formula for contingent claims in a complete market. Section 3 derives an implementation procedure and then shows how to apply the proposed replication strategy in practice through using a numerical example. Section 4 concludes and discusses several cases where our methodology may be applicable.

2 Replication in general complete markets

We firstly shall recall some basic and conventional notations, definitions and assumptions for our models in this section. Let us consider a general stochastic differential equation of the form

\[ dX(t) = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW(t), \]  

where \( W(t) \) is a standard Brownian motion defined on a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\), \( \mu_t = \mu(t, X(t)) \) and \( \sigma_t = \sigma(t, X(t)) \) are adaptable processes with respect to the information flow \( \mathcal{F}_W^t \) generated by the Brownian motion \( W(t) \), and both of them are unknown functions of \( t \) and \( X(t) \). Obviously, we need to assume \( \sigma_t \neq 0 \) for all \( t \). In addition, in order to ensure that the process is well-defined, the following conditions are necessary

\[ \mathbb{P}\left( \int_0^t |\mu_s| ds < \infty, \ \forall t \right) = 1, \quad \mathbb{P}\left( \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty, \ \forall t \right) = 1. \]

A market, denoted by \((X, B)\), consists of a risky asset with price process \( X(t) \) and a riskless bank account with bounded stochastic interest rate \( r(t, \omega) \) adapted with \( \mathcal{F}_W^t \). The bank account \( B(t) \) follows a stochastic model of the form

\[ dB(t) = r(t, \omega)B(t)dt \quad \text{with} \quad B(0) = 1. \]  

It follows that \( B(t) = \exp\left( \int_0^t r(s, \omega) ds \right) \) and we therefore denote \( D(t) = \frac{1}{B(t)} \) as the discount factor. In the sequel, let \( r_t = r(t, \omega) \) for brevity. Let \( H \) be a contingent claim with maturity at \( T \) and satisfy that \( H \) is a \( \mathcal{F}_W^T \) measurable random variable with finite second moment \( E[H^2] < \infty \). One central problem in the literature in such a framework is the
replication of contingent claims by means of dynamic trading strategy of underlying asset processes $X$ and $B$.

Such a framework covers many examples. For example, if $\mu_t = \mu X(t)$, $\sigma_t = \sigma X(t)$ and $r_t = r > 0$, where $\mu$, $\sigma$ and $r$ are constants, then the market $(X, B)$ reduces to a classical Black-Scholes market. If $\mu_t = \mu X(t)$ and $r_t = r > 0$ where $\mu$ and $r$ are constants, and $\sigma_t = \lambda_t X(t)$ where $\lambda_t$ is a measurable adapted process, then the market $(X, B)$ reduces to a generalised Black-Scholes market.

A dynamic trading portfolio strategy in the market is a $(t, \omega)$-measurable process $\varrho_t$ of the form $\varrho_t = (\theta_t, \eta_t)$ such that $\theta_t$ is $\mathcal{F}_t^W$-predictable and $\eta_t$ is $\mathcal{F}_t^W$-adapted. The financial interpretation of a portfolio strategy $(\theta, \eta)$ is that $\theta_t$ is the number of units of asset $X$ (it may be called a stock hereafter) held at time $t$, while $\eta_t$ stands for the shares of bank accounts invested in the bank account at time $t$ by an investor. The value of a portfolio $\varrho = (\theta, \eta)$ thereby is $V_t(\varrho) = \theta_t X(t) + \eta_t B(t)$. On the other hand, the cumulative gains from the trade on the stock up to time $t$ are given by $G_t(\theta) = \int_0^t \theta_t dX(t)$ and the cumulative gains from the bank account up to time $t$ are given by $Y_t(\theta) = \int_0^t \eta_t dB(t)$, provided that $\theta_t$ is integrable with respect to $X(t)$ and $\eta_t$ is integrable with respect to $B(t)$ under the following assumption.

**Assumption A:** The shares of the stock $\theta_t$ and the number of bank account $\eta_t$ satisfy

$$\int_0^T |\eta_t r_t B(t)| dt < \infty, \quad \int_0^T [\theta_t \sigma_t]^2 dt < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s. \quad (5)$$

**Remark 1.** The first part of (5) is evident. The requirement of the second part is a consequence of $E \int_0^T \theta_t^2 d[X]_t < \infty$ that guarantees the integrability of $\theta_t$ with respect to the process $X(t)$, where $[X]_t$ is the variation process of $X(t)$. Also, this assumption is a standard requirement in the relevant literature (see, for example, Pham (2000), Øksendal (2000), Nualart and Schoutens (2001), Schweizer (2001) and Lim (2005)).

The cumulative costs incurred by $\varrho = (\theta, \eta)$ are thereby $C_t = V_t(\varrho) - G_t(\theta)$. A strategy is called **self-financing** if and only if $C_t$ is a constant over time, i.e. $C_t = C_0 = V_0$. Hence,

$$V_t(\varrho) = V_0 + G_t(\theta). \quad (6)$$

The financial interpretation is that all changes in the wealth of the self-financing strategy, by the implication from (6) that $dV_t = \theta_t dX(t)$, are due to capital gains, as opposed to withdrawals of cash or infusions of new funds. Note that equation (6) indicates that for self-financing strategy the value process depends merely on $\theta_t$. Hereafter we shall replace
if and only if follows from Lemma 12.2.2 of Øksendal (2000, p. 260) that $V$ two filtrations are generated by $X$ to adaptivity of all processes with respect to $F$ and the market is complete by Theorem 12.2.5 of Øksendal (2000, p. 263). Meanwhile, due to adaptivity of all processes with respect to $F^W$, we have $F^W = F^X = F^\tilde{W}$, where the last two filtrations are generated by $X(t)$ and $\tilde{W}(t)$, respectively.

Let $\tilde{X}(t) = D(t)X(t)$ be the discounted process of $X(t)$. It follows from Itô Lemma that $d\tilde{X}(t) = D(t)[(\mu_t - r_tX(t))dt + \sigma_t dW(t)]$. Also, it follows from Lemma 12.2.3 of Øksendal (2000, p. 261) that if $E \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \Lambda_s^2 ds \right) \right] < \infty,$ (8)

where $\Lambda_s = \frac{\mu_s - r_sX(s)}{\sigma_s}$, under the measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by Randon-Nikodým derivative

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^T \Lambda_s dW(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \Lambda_s^2 ds \right\},$$ (9)

$\tilde{W}(t) := \int_0^t \Lambda_s ds + W(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion and hence $d\tilde{X}(t) = \tilde{\sigma}_t d\tilde{W}(t)$, where $\tilde{\sigma}_t = D(t)\sigma_t$. Particularly, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is an equivalent martingale measure since $\int_0^T \tilde{E}[\tilde{\sigma}_t]^2 dt < \infty$, and the market is complete by Theorem 12.2.5 of Øksendal (2000, p. 263). Meanwhile, due to adaptivity of all processes with respect to $F^W$, we have $F^W = F^X = F^\tilde{W}$, where the last two filtrations are generated by $X(t)$ and $\tilde{W}(t)$, respectively.

Denote by $\tilde{V}_t(\theta) = D(t)V_t(\theta)$ the discounted value process of self-financing strategy $\theta_t$. It follows from Lemma 12.2.2 of Øksendal (2000, p. 260) that $V_t = V_0 + \int_0^t \theta_t dX(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ if and only if $\tilde{V}_t = V_0 + \int_0^t \theta_t d\tilde{X}(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$. This means that under condition (8), $\tilde{V}_t$ is a martingale because $\tilde{X}$ is a martingale too and therefore the strategy is $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$-admissible. Whence, the traditional pricing principle will give such $H$ an arbitrage price. In addition, noting that for a hedger, her aim is to find $\theta_t$ such that $\tilde{V}_T = H$, the hedging problem (7) is equivalent to finding $\theta_t$ in the following equation

$$D(T)H = \tilde{E}[D(T)H] + \int_0^T \theta_t d\tilde{X}(t).$$ (10)

It follows that the trading strategy $\theta_t$, which replicates a claim $H$, satisfies that $D(T)H = \tilde{E}[D(T)H] + \int_0^T \theta_t \tilde{\sigma}_t d\tilde{W}(t)$. This makes sense since $r_t$ is bounded and consequently Assumption A implies the integrability of $\theta_t \tilde{\sigma}_t$: $\tilde{E} \int_0^T [\theta_t \tilde{\sigma}_t]^2 dt < \infty.$

$V_t(\rho)$ by $V_t(\theta)$ in this case. It also implicitly assumes that the market in the question is frictionless, meaning that there are no transaction costs and no restrictions on short-selling.
Notice that the space of all stochastic processes in the form of \( f(t, \tilde{W}(t)) \) satisfying 
\[
\tilde{E} \int_0^T f^2(t, \tilde{W}(t))dt < \infty
\]
is the Hilbert space \( L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \nu) \), where \( \nu \) is the product measure of Lebesgue measure on \([0, T]\) and the probability measure of normal random variable \( N(0, t) \).

It is because of adaptivity that \( \theta_t \tilde{\sigma}_t \) belongs to the Hilbert space. According to Dong and Gao (2011), we propose approximating \( F(t, \tilde{W}(t)) = \theta_t \tilde{\sigma}_t \) by an orthogonal expansion of the form:
\[
F(t, \tilde{W}(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^\infty c_{ij} \varphi_j(t) h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t)),
\] (11)
where \( h_i(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} H_i \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \) with \( H_i(\cdot) \) being the Hermite orthogonal polynomial system, \( \varphi_j(t) \) is any complete orthonormal system on \([0, T]\), such as, the trigonometric system, the spline system or the wavelet system. Discussion about such expansions can be found in Dong and Gao (2011).

Therefore, the gains process of investment in \( \hat{X} \) can be written as
\[
\hat{G}_T(\theta) = \int_0^T \theta_t d\hat{X}(t) = \int_0^T F(t, \tilde{W}(t))d\tilde{W}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^\infty c_{ij} \int_0^T \varphi_j(t) h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t))d\tilde{W}(t).
\] (12)

Denote \( \xi_{ij} = \int_0^T \varphi_j(t)h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t))d\tilde{W}(t) \). We then have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** The system \( \{1, \xi_{ij}\} \) is a complete orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} = \{H: H \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_T^W \text{ measurable and attainable and } \tilde{E}[H^2] < \infty\} \), where \( \tilde{E} \) means the expectation operation under probability measure \( \tilde{P} \).

Before we give the proof of this theorem, we make the following remark.

**Remark 2.** Because \( \mathcal{F}_T^W = \mathcal{F}_T^\tilde{W} \) and the condition \( \tilde{E}[H^2] < \infty \) is equivalent to \( E[H]^2 < \infty \), \( \mathcal{H} \) can be written as \( \mathcal{H} = \{H: H \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_T^W \text{ measurable and attainable and } E[H^2] < \infty\} \).

**Proof.** By Itô integral property, \( \tilde{E}[\xi_{ij}] = 0 \) and
\[
\tilde{E}[\xi_{ij}]^2 = \tilde{E} \int_0^T [\varphi_j(t)h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t))]^2 dt = \int_0^T [\varphi_j(t)]^2 dt = 1.
\]
Moreover, let \( 0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_M = T \) be any partition on \([0, T]\) and \( \Delta = \max_i(s_i - s_{i-1}) \).

We have for any \( i, j, m, n \)
\[
\tilde{E}[\xi_{ij}\xi_{nm}] = \tilde{E} \left[ \int_0^T \varphi_j(s)h_i(s, \tilde{W}(s))d\tilde{W}(s) \int_0^T \varphi_m(s)h_n(s, \tilde{W}(s))d\tilde{W}(s) \right]
\]
Hence, the arbitrage price for

\[ Q^H(t) = B(t) \left( E[D(T)H] + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E[D(T)H\xi_{ij}] \xi_{ij}^{(t)} \right), \quad (14) \]

where \( \xi_{ij}^{(t)} = \int_0^t \varphi_j(t)h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t))d\tilde{W}(t). \)

Proof. Since \( D(T)H = \tilde{E}[D(T)H] + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{ij} \xi_{ij}, \) \( c_{ij} = \tilde{E}[D(T)H\xi_{ij}] \) by virtue of Theorem 1. In view of the expansion in (11), equation (13) holds.

Moreover, \( \tilde{V}_t \) is a martingale and \( V_T(\theta) = H. \) According to (5.2.30) of Shreve (2004, p.218),

\[ Q^H(t) = B(t)\tilde{V}_t = B(t)\tilde{E}[V_T|\mathcal{F}_t] = B(t)\tilde{E}[D(T)H|\mathcal{F}_t] \]
\[ B(t) \left( \tilde{E}[D(T)H] + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{ij} \tilde{E}[\xi_{ij} | F_t] \right) \]
\[ = B(t) \left( \tilde{E}[D(T)H] + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B(t) c_{ij} \xi_{ij}^{(t)} \right), \]

where \( \xi_{ij}^{(t)} = \int_0^T \varphi_j(t) h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t)) d\tilde{W}(t) \) since \( \tilde{E}[\xi_{ij} | F_t] = \xi_{ij}^{(t)} \) by the property of the martingale \( \{ \xi_{ij} \} \). \hfill \Box

### 3 Implementation and numerical example

One thing we are concerned with is about how to use the expression of \( \theta^H_t \) in practice. The main step of implementation of the proposed method is to convert the stochastic integrals \( \xi_{ij} \) into a series of usual integrals. To this end, we make the best use of the Itô lemma and specify the orthogonal sequence \( \varphi_j(t) \) as follows:

\[ \varphi_0(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad \varphi_j(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}} \cos \frac{j \pi t}{T}, \quad j \geq 1. \]

In addition, we also intensively use the relationship among Hermite polynomials to entail the following equations:

\[ h_i(t, x) = \sqrt{\frac{T}{i+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} h_{i+1}(t, x), \quad i \geq 0, \quad (15a) \]

\[ \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} h_i(t, x) = \sqrt{i+1} h_{i+1}(t, x) + \sqrt{i} h_{i-1}(t, x), \quad i \geq 1. \quad (15b) \]

To proceed, it is easy to figure out that \( \xi_{00} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \tilde{W}(T) \). Moreover, for \( i = 0 \) and \( j \geq 1 \), Itô lemma gives

\[ \xi_{0j} = \int_0^T \varphi_j(t) d\tilde{W}(t) = \varphi_j(T) \tilde{W}(T) - \int_0^T \tilde{W}(t) \varphi_j'(t) dt \]

\[ = (-1)^j \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}} \tilde{W}(T) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}} \frac{j \pi}{T} \int_0^T \tilde{W}(t) \sin \frac{j \pi t}{T} dt; \]

and for \( j = 0, i \geq 1 \), by virtue of (15) we have similarly

\[ \xi_{i0} = \int_0^T \varphi_0(t) h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t)) d\tilde{W}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t)) d\tilde{W}(t) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}} h_{i+1}(T, \tilde{W}(T)) + \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{T} \sqrt{i+1}} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} h_{i+1}(t, \tilde{W}(t)) dt. \]
Furthermore, for all \( i \geq 1 \) and \( j \geq 1 \), let \( F_{i+1,j}(t, x) = \varphi_j(t)\sqrt{\frac{t}{i+1}}h_{i+1}(t, x) \), Using Itô lemma as well as (15) yields

\[
\xi_{ij} = \int_0^T \varphi_j(t)h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t))d\tilde{W}(t) = F_{i+1,j}(T, \tilde{W}(T)) - \int_0^T \frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_{i+1,j}(t, \tilde{W}(t))dt \\
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}F_{i+1,j}(t, \tilde{W}(t))dt = (-1)^j \sqrt{\frac{2}{i+1}} h_{i+1}(T, \tilde{W}(T)) \\
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}} \int_0^T \cos\frac{j\pi t}{T} h_{i+1}(t, \tilde{W}(t))dt \\
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}\sqrt{i+1}} \int_0^T \cos\frac{j\pi t}{T} h_{i+1}(t, \tilde{W}(t))dt.
\]

To simplify the calculation, let \( r > 0 \) be a constant and hence so be \( D(T) \). With these expressions of \( \xi_{ij} \), we can calculate \( c_{ij} = \tilde{E}(H\xi_{ij}) \) for any European option \( H \) as follows. Observe that Brownian motion \( \tilde{W}(t) \) has normal distribution \( N(0, t) \) and independent increments so that \( \tilde{W}(t) \) and \( \tilde{W}(T) - \tilde{W}(t) \) are independent for any \( t \in [0, T] \). Whence, for European option, say \( H = f(T, \tilde{W}(T)) \),

\[
c_{00} = \tilde{E}[H_{\xi_{00}}] = \tilde{E} \left[ f(T, \tilde{W}(T)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \tilde{W}(T) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, x)x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2T} \right) dx \\
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, \sqrt{T}x)x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2} \right) dx
\]

for \( i = 0 \) and any \( j \geq 1 \);

\[
c_{0j} = (-1)^j \sqrt{2} \tilde{E} \left[ f(T, \tilde{W}(T)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \tilde{W}(T) \right] \\
+ \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}} \int_0^T \tilde{E}[f(T, \tilde{W}(T))\tilde{W}(t)] \sin\frac{j\pi t}{T}dt \\
= (-1)^j \sqrt{2} c_{00} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, x+y)x \sin\frac{j\pi t}{T} \\
\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2t} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} \exp \left( -\frac{y^2}{2(T-t)} \right) dxdydt \\
= (-1)^j \sqrt{2} c_{00} + \frac{j}{\sqrt{2TT}} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, \sqrt{T}x + \sqrt{T-t}y) \sqrt{t}x \sin\frac{j\pi t}{T} \\
\times \exp \left( -\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2} \right) dxdydt
\]

for \( j = 0 \) and \( i \geq 1 \);

\[
c_{i0} = \tilde{E}[H_{\xi_{0i}}] = \tilde{E}[f(T, \tilde{W}(T))\xi_{0i}]
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}} \tilde{E}[f(T, \tilde{W}(T))h_{i+1}(T, \tilde{W}(T))] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}} \frac{i}{\sqrt{i+1}} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \tilde{E}[f(T, \tilde{W}(T))h_{i+1}(t, \tilde{W}(t))]dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, x)h_{i+1}(T, x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2T}\right) dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}} \frac{i}{\sqrt{i+1}} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, x+y)h_{i+1}(t, x) \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2T}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2(T-t)}\right) dxdydt \\
&= \frac{1}{i+1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{i+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, \sqrt{T}x)H_{i+1}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\sqrt{T}} \frac{i}{i+1} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, \sqrt{T}x+\sqrt{T-t}y) \times H_{i+1}(x) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}\right) dxdydt,
\end{aligned}
\]

where we have used \( h_i(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{i!}} H_i\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{i}}\right) \) with \( H_i(\cdot) \) being Hermite polynomials; and finally, for \( i \geq 1 \) and \( j \geq 1, \)

\[ c_{ij} = \tilde{E}[H_{ij}] = \tilde{E}[f(T, \tilde{W}(T))\xi_{ij}] \]

\[ (-1)^j \sqrt{\frac{2}{i+1}} \tilde{E}[f(T, \tilde{W}(T))h_{i+1}(T, \tilde{W}(T))] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}} \frac{j}{T} \int_0^T \sqrt{t} \sin\frac{j\pi t}{T} \tilde{E}[f(T, \tilde{W}(T))h_{i+1}(t, \tilde{W}(t))]dt \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}} \frac{i}{\sqrt{i+1}} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \cos\frac{j\pi t}{T} \tilde{E}[f(T, \tilde{W}(T))h_{i+1}(t, \tilde{W}(t))]dt \]

\[ = (-1)^j \sqrt{\frac{2}{i+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(T, \sqrt{T}x)H_{i+1}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx \\
+ \frac{1}{i+1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{i!}} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2T}} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{t} \sin\frac{j\pi t}{T} f(T, \sqrt{T}x+\sqrt{T-t}y) \times H_{i+1}(x) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}\right) dxdydt \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}} \frac{i}{i+1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{i!}} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2T}} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \cos\frac{j\pi t}{T} f(T, \sqrt{T}x+\sqrt{T-t}y) \times \frac{1}{2\pi} H_{i+1}(x) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}\right) dxdydt. \]

Thus, as the form of \( H = f(\cdot, \cdot) \) is specified, we may calculate every coefficient \( c_{ij} \), at least numerically. The following is an example from the text book Musiela and Rutkowski...
(1997, p. 134). We compare our results with the one computed from using the Black-Scholes formula.

### Table 3.1 The values of the coefficients $c_{ij}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2933</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>-0.0012</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3142</td>
<td>-0.1064</td>
<td>-0.0177</td>
<td>-0.0176</td>
<td>-0.0068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.1181</td>
<td>0.0677</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>-0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.0175</td>
<td>0.0131</td>
<td>-0.0016</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0538</td>
<td>-0.0462</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
<td>-0.0051</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.0101</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>-0.0033</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.0263</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
<td>-0.0113</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>-0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
<td>-0.0151</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>-0.0033</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>-0.0145</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>-0.0038</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.0001</td>
<td>-0.0054</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.0075</td>
<td>-0.0037</td>
<td>-0.0042</td>
<td>-0.0022</td>
<td>-0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>-0.0000</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>-0.0001</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.0019</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>-0.0009</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>-0.0013</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>-0.0007</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.0012</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>-0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.0014</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>-0.0007</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>-0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example:** Consider a call option on a stock with strike price $30 and with three months to expiry. Suppose, in addition, the current stock price is $31, the stock price volatility is
\(\sigma = 10\%\) per annum, and the risk-free interest rate is \(r = 5\%\) per annum with continuous compounding. We may suppose that the time interval is \([0, 0.25]\), i.e., \(T = 0.25\) years. Note that \(H = (X_T - K)^+\) and we know the expression of \(X_T\) in terms of \(\tilde{W}(T)\). Therefore we can calculate the expectations \(c_{ij} = \tilde{E}(H \xi_{ij})\) displayed in Table 3.1.

Given truncation parameters \(I, J\) for \(i\) and \(j\), we have the replication strategy for \(H\) at \(t\), denoted by \(\theta_t^H(I, J)\),

\[
\theta_t^H(I, J) = \frac{D(T)}{\sigma X(t)} \sum_{i=0}^I \sum_{j=0}^J c_{ij} \varphi_j(t) h_i(t, \tilde{W}(t)).
\]  

(16)

Table 3.2 gives some values of \(\theta_0^H(I, J)\). It can be seen that as both \(I\) and \(J\) increase, the values converge to the value \(\theta_0 = 0.82\) calculated in the book by the Black-Scholes formula. The maximum difference in absolute value is 0.0063, while the minimum difference in absolute value is 0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(I)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
<th>(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8263</td>
<td>0.8214</td>
<td>0.8200</td>
<td>0.8205</td>
<td>0.8208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8259</td>
<td>0.8217</td>
<td>0.8198</td>
<td>0.8207</td>
<td>0.8207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.8256</td>
<td>0.8220</td>
<td>0.8197</td>
<td>0.8208</td>
<td>0.8206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8253</td>
<td>0.8222</td>
<td>0.8194</td>
<td>0.8209</td>
<td>0.8205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Conclusion and discussion

Through using an expansion of stochastic processes in a Hilbert space, an explicit solution of the self-financing replication strategy is given in terms of the basis in the space for the contingent claims defined on a complete financial market. As a consequence, we derive the arbitrage price for attainable claims using the martingale property of Ito integrals. This procedure that we derive the pricing formula from the replication policy is exactly converse to the one in Black-Scholes theory: In the Black-Scholes theory, the replication strategy is derived from the pricing formula, \(\theta_t = c_s(X(t), T - t)\), so-called the delta of the option. This is because we take the advantage of the orthogonal series expansion of unknown functionals. The solutions for both are explicit, although they are expressed by means of infinite
series. An implementation procedure for the proposed method has been provided and used in practice through using a numerical example. The numerical results show that our method is implementable and feasible.

Furthermore, since our method is based on expanding a process into an orthogonal series, in the case where there is a need to determine functionals of a stochastic process call for a stochastic process, the problem may be solved by determining the corresponding coefficients involved in the corresponding expansion of the process. Hence, there are many problems, for which our method may play a key role in providing solutions. Such problems include a) the hedging problems in an incomplete market with partial information available for a hedger who is guided by quadratic criterion; b) the hedging problems in an incomplete market with partial information available for a hedger who is guided by maximising her utility rather than quadratic criterion; c) the hedging problems in an incomplete market where the asset price processes are driven by some Lévy process rather than Brownian motion or compensated Poisson process; and d) optimal control problems with partial information. Such problems are left for future research.
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