

Marking Rubric - Sample

Criteria	Unsatisfactory (0-4.5)	Just enough (5-5.5)	Okay (6-6.5)	Good (7-8)	Excellent (8.5-10)	Marks
Context setting in the report	The report does not have one or more of the elements listed below. All need to be better structured and developed with further details.	The report has all the elements listed below. Some of them should be better structured ...and/or developed with further details.	The report has all the elements listed below. All elements are structured and developed with enough details but not well connected.	The report has all the elements listed below. All elements are structured and developed with well selected details. All elements are connected to form a narrative.	The report has all the elements listed below. All elements are structured and developed with well selected details. All elements are connected to form a narrative with the specific purpose of the report.	
	Quality of: - Introduction - Publication details of the article - Summary of the article - Conclusion			None Needs improvement Satisfactory None Needs improvement Satisfactory None Needs improvement Satisfactory None Needs improvement Satisfactory	Comments:	
Depth of analysis of the characteristics	The report fails to identify or discuss all the relevant aspects of the article for analysis.	The report identifies most of the relevant aspects of the article for analysis. Their analysis could be discussed more comprehensively.	The report identifies all the relevant aspects of the article for analysis. Their analysis was discussed comprehensively.	The report identifies all the relevant aspects of the article for analysis. Their analysis was discussed comprehensively and logically connected.	The report identifies all relevant aspects of the article for analysis. Their analysis was discussed comprehensively and logically connected in light of the purpose of the report.	
	Analysis of: - Logic within the article - Article's currency - Article's accessibility - Article's stability - Article's authority			None Needs improvement Satisfactory None Needs improvement Satisfactory None Needs improvement Satisfactory None Needs improvement Satisfactory None Needs improvement Satisfactory	Comments:	
Analysis of the sources used to write the article	The report has not identified sources (or the lack of) used in the article.	The report identifies some sources (or the lack of) used in the article.	The report identifies all sources (or the lack of) used in the article.	The report identifies all sources (or the lack of) used in the article,	The report identifies all sources (or the lack of) used in the article,	/10

	The report thus does not include any analysis.	The report includes a partial analysis of the sources, but the analysis is not well connected.	The report includes a partial analysis of the sources, but the analysis is not well connected.	The report includes a comprehensive analysis of the sources, and the analysis is well connected.	The report includes a comprehensive analysis of the sources, and the analysis is very well connected in light of the purpose of the report.	
	Analysis of: - The article's representation of the sources None Needs improvement Satisfactory - Quality of the sources (e.g. currency, authority) None Needs improvement Satisfactory - Suitability of the sources None Needs improvement Satisfactory			Comments:		
Independent research on the accuracy of the article using external sources (with justification)	The report does not include any external sources.	The report includes a limited set of arbitrarily selected external sources to validate the article.	The report includes a limited set of purposefully selected (with some justification) external sources used to validate the article.	The report includes a comprehensive list of purposefully selected (with some justification) external sources used to effectively validate the article.	The report includes a comprehensive list of purposefully selected and well justified external sources used to effectively validate the article in light of the purpose of the report.	
	Discussion of: - Quality of the external sources (e.g. currency, authority) None Needs improvement Satisfactory - Suitability of the external sources None Needs improvement Satisfactory - Justification of the selection None Needs improvement Satisfactory - Comprehensiveness of the selection None Needs improvement Satisfactory			Comments:		/10
Organisation of report and Quality of writing	The report is neither organised logically nor formatted as a report. The tone and accuracy of language used in the writing is not understandable at times.	The report is organised logically but not formatted as a report. The writing is understandable. The tone is not appropriate or consistent.	The report is organised logically and formatted as a report, though not specifically for its purpose. The writing is mostly articulate. The tone could be more appropriate and/or consistent.	The report is organised logically and formatted as a report, though not specifically for its purpose. The writing is mostly articulate, The tone is appropriate and mostly consistent.	The report is organised logically and formatted as a report, specifically for its purpose. The writing is articulate The tone is appropriate and consistent.	
	Quality of: - Grammar and expressions Needs improvement Satisfactory			Comments:		/10

